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## COURSE OUTLINE

TEXT: "Introduction to Biostatistics" by Sokal and Rohlf

| Unit \#1 (8 hrs.) | - Single classification ANOVA -- calculation of sources of variation, construction of ANOVA table, calculation of $F-r a t i o$, interpretation of results for Model $I$ and Model II ANOVA, comparison with student's t-distribution, a priori and a posteriori tests. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit \#2 (8 hrs.) | - Two-way ANOVA, its calculation, construction of ANOVA table, interpretation of results, interaction between variables, significance testing, replication, assumptions of ANOVA, alternative non-parametric tests in lieu of ANOVA. |
| Unit \#3 (l0 hrs.) | - Regression and correlation, straight-line regression and its calculation, Model I and Model II, replication, combination ANOVA regression analysis and interpretation of results, tests of significance, calculation of correlation coefficient, confidence limits |
| Unit \#4 (6 hrs.) | - Chi-square test for goodness of fit, test of independence, use of computers in biology, preparation of data for computer analysis |
| Unj.t \#5 (12 hrs.) | - Population estimation methods, mark-recapture, sources of error, multiple mark-recapture methods, importance of sample size, survey removal method |

## Student Evaluation

TERM TESTS
Term tests will be written at the end of units $1,2,4$ and 5 .
In addition, questions to be solved on the APL terminal will be assigned from time to time. These are to be handed in when complete and will constitute approximately $25 \%$ of the course mark.

Students will receive grades based on their course average and consistency of performance. Each student must pass the test for each unit. The pass mark is $50 \%$ in each case.

## BIOMETRICS 308-4

Sample Problems

Analysis of Variance

1. Analysis of deer pellet group counts in each of five forest types is to be made by means of ANOVA

Predominant Tree

2. A park superintendent is attempting to estimate his staff needs for the coming summer. He goes to his records and comes up with the following data concerning the past usage of his park on a weekly basis over the last 10 years. (Numbers in Hundred's)
(a) Prior to looking at the results, discuss what we might test for in the data i.e. - what would you expect to influence the total number of park users in any particular week over the past ten years? It is necessary to ask these pertinent questions prior to looking at the data (before doing the experiment) in order to use the more powerful tests. We will use these questions to tell us what to test for.
(b) Is this a model 1 or model II or mixed ANOVA?
(c) Does the superintendent have any justification for increasing or decreasing his staff in any week during the summer? i.e. are there differences in park usages as a result of the week of the summer?
(d) If the answer to the above (c) questions are affirmative, should he have more staff in June, July or August or will two of these months be the same?
(e) Can he justify increasing his staff over the whole summer based on the assumption that park attendance increases annually? Base this firstly on the last ten years then on the last five years.

N.A. -- Figures unavailable or park not open
3. Dissolved oxygen values were determined in 6 locations in a bay and in the open part of a lake. The results arranged according to location and depth follow (ppm):

Qoen Lake
Leeward Side Windward Side

Protected Bay
Leeward Side Windward Side

| Location | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 8.9 |
| 10 m | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 8.6 |
| 20 m | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 8.4 |
| 30 m | 7.5 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| bottom | 6.0 | --- | 8.1 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 4.4 |

Note: Assume model 1, one-way ANOVA (ignore depths)
(a) Suggest two a priori questions for which you would like to know the answers (ignore depth).
(b) Ignoring the effects of depth, are there differences between locations?
(c) Answer your a priori questions and include in the above analysis.
(d) Ask one a posteriori question based on the results of your analysis and answer it.
(e) Treat the above data as a țwo-way analysis of variance and analyze.
4. Rainbow and brook trout were placed together in each of 10 raceways at a hatchery to determine which species grows more quickly in the cold hatchery water (average weight in grams after 1 year):

Brook trout

1. 14.1
20.8
10.4
10.7
12.1
12.4
14.1

8 . $\quad 9.9$
9. 15.0
10.
12.9

Rainbow trout
16.8
20.5
11.4
14.1
12.9
12.1
16.9
9.8
18.0
14.1

Is there a difference between the two species? What kind of ANOVA is this?
5. An experimenter is evaluating the effects of various types of tags on the growth of three species of fish. The results follow (increase in weight in grams/week).

| Largemouth | Bass | Bluegill | Croaker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atkins | 22.5 | 12.8 | 6.9 |
| Petersen | 30.1 | 17.2 | 10.8 |
| Spaghetti | 28.9 | 15.8 | 9.1 |
| Strap | 25.5 | 14.1 | 8.1 |
| Control <br> (untagged) | 40.1 | 23.2 | 12.5 |

Analyze to determine the effects of the tags on growth. (From: Evaluation of various tagging methods on several fishes and estuarine fishes in Louisiana).
6. In a study, designed to estimate the size of a spawning population of perch, it was suspected that the $r / m$ ratio (fraction of fish recaptured) might have varied with time because of changes in emigration and immigration later in the run. Five different tag colours were also used. Analyze completely and state your conclusions In this question, the date reflects changes in population size in the spawning area and tag colour reflects date of marking of the fish. For example: lilac tags were applied on May 1, 2 and 3.

| Date <br> caught | Lilac <br> May 1,2,3 | Green <br> May 3,4 | Yellow <br> May 4,5 |  | Grey <br> May 5,6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | White |
| :--- |
| May $6-10$ |

(arc. sign transformation of $r / m$ )
From: Estimates of a population of spawning perch and of the efficiency of trap and gill-net fishing.
7. In table l, fish species diversity indexes are listed for littoral zone species in Lake Memphremagog. A is the value for permanent littoral zone species, $B$ is the value index for the total catch including young-of-the-year which may leave at a later date. The values above the four locations are the levels of total phosphorus
( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) obtained. The author wishes to determine whether the level of phosphorus influences the diversity index for fish. You should consider each year separately and then combine the two years in an overall analysis.

Table 1: Fish species diversity (H) values for littoral zone fish communities in four regions of Lake Memphremagog. A, permanent littoral zone species; B, total catch, young-of-the-year included.

|  | (28.5) |  | (20.0) |  |  |  | (10.0) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Newport |  | South Basin |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (12.1) } \\ & \text { Central Basin } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |  | North Basin |  |
|  | A | B | A | B |  |  | A | B |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21 | 2.03 | 2.12 | 2.34 | 2.24 | . 49 | . 38 | . 92 | . 33 |
| July 30 | 1.49 | 1.91 | 2.33 | 1.00 | 1.27 | 1.54 | 1.23 | 1.3 |
| Aug. 26 | 2.48 | 2.71 | . 55 | 1.36 | . 73 | 1.47 | 1.66 | 1.7 |
| Oct. 6 | 2.73 | 1.89 | 1.92 | 1.97 | . 95 | 2.23 | 1.95 | 2.6 |
| Mean | 2.18 | 2.15 | 1.78 | 1.64 | . 86 | 1.40 | 1.44 | 1.54 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 22 | 1.53 | 1.52 | 2.13 | 2.13 | 1.46 | 1.44 | 1.49 | . 99 |
| July 14 | 1.61 | 1.47 | 2.28 | 2.41 | 1.66 | 2.12 | 1.62 | 2.11 |
| Aug. 30 | 2.44 | 2.37 | 2.40 | 2.97 | 2.21 | 2.28 | 2.17 | . 68 |
| Oct. 22 | 2.47 | 1.54 | . 34 | 1.51 |  |  | 1.39 | 1.46 |
| Mean | 2.01 | 1.73 | 2.00 | 2.26 | 1.77 | 1.95 | 1.66 | 1.31 |
| Grand Mean | 2.09 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.95 | 1.32 | 1.68 | 1.55 | 1.42 ) |

From: Species diversity of littoral zone fishes along a phosphorus production gradie.ıt in Lake Memphremagog, Quebec-Vermont.
8. Perform Wilcoxin's signed-ranks, and the sign test on the data in question (4) above. Compare the results of the three methods of analysis and explain why you obtained different conclusions.

Correlation and Regression
9. State whether analysis of the following problems is most appropriate by correlation and/or regression and why.
(a) It is suspected that an industrial user of water is altering the temperature before returning it to the river. The temperature is recorded at intervals downstream from the factory and is found to decrease with distance from the factory.
9. (b) Two species of fish migrate up a river at about the same time of year. A trap records the numbers moving upstream on a daily basis. The investigator wishes to know whether the two species respond in the same way to river conditions (temperature and discharge).
(c) A park superintendent in a remote region is responsible for two parks, one in which his office is located and one 50 miles down a nearby road. There are no phones. He wishes to devise a method of determining when the distant park is full by surveying his own park and the road to both parks.
(d) In a hatchery, the superintendent wants to determine whether heating the water will improve his cost/production ratio. That is, he wishes to determine under what conditions his production costs will be lowest.
10. In fisheries the usual method of determining the size of fish at different ages is by back calculation of scales. A relationship between scale radius and fish length is developed. Determine this relationship from the following data:

| Scale radius (cm) | Fish length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| .050 | 37.5 |
| .040 | 30.4 |
| .151 | 52.2 |
| .044 | 31.5 |
| .095 | 44.5 |
| .008 | 10.5 |
| .019 | 20.4 |
| .034 | 27.2 |
| .041 | 31.5 |
| .024 | 22.4 |
| .026 | 23.1 |
| .075 | 38.9 |

11. A common method of population census of fish in streams is the removal method. The stream is shocked three or four times and each time the fish are removed and counted. The number of fish removed per unit of effort (one pass through with the shocker) is plotted against the cumulative number of fish captured. Determine the total population size in the section of stream by extrapolating the graph to the point where the catch/unit effort becomes negligible. Graph the results also.

Cum. catch
0
450
490
496
C.U.E.

450
40
6
1
12. In the summer stream water temperatures tend to be highest on clear sunny days and lowest on cool rainy days. An investigator suspects that stream temperature and turbidity may vary together. Determine if this is so.

Temperature
11.0
5.0
2.9
1.6
1.2

Turbidity (ppm)
.05
.15
. 10
. 30
.60
13. Deer are kept in an enclosure and fed prepared food of different protein and vitamin levels to determine if this influences the weight lost over the winter.

| $\frac{\text { Vitamin \& Protein Content }}{(\mathrm{ppm})}$ | $(\mathrm{kg})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5.0 | 15.5 |
| 5.0 | 12.1 |
| 5.0 | 4.1 |
| 10.0 | 4.8 |
| 10.0 | 12.4 |
| 10.0 | 8.1 |
| 20.0 | 8.1 |

14. The amount of phosphorus in a freshwater lake is limited since much of it is often tied up in the vegetation and algae. Does the following data indicate an interrelationship between amount of algae and phosphorus in the water?

| Algae <br> $\left(\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Phosphorus <br> (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 15 |
| 1.4 | 15 |
| 1.5 | 21 |
| .5 | 28 |
| 1.9 | 10 |
| .2 | 35 |
| 1.2 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 5 |

15. Grand River specific conductance values were recorded over a period of 10 years to determine trends in water quality. The results follow. Is there a significant trend in specific conductance over the ten year period?

Year
1.967

1968
Specific Conductance
(ers/cm)
520
520

| Year | Specific Conductance <br> $(\mu \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{cm})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1968 | 550 |
| 1968 | 530 |
| 1968 | 575 |
| 1968 | 490 |
| 1969 | 410 |
| 1969 | 510 |
| 1969 | 610 |
| 1969 | 600 |
| 1969 | 590 |
| 1970 | 410 |
| 1970 | 790 |
| 1972 | 750 |
| 1972 | 710 |
| 1972 | 610 |
| 1972 | 610 |
| 1972 | 550 |
| 1972 | 590 |
| 1973 | 610 |
| 1973 | 615 |
| 1973 | 605 |
| 1973 | 560 |
| 1973 | 480 |
| 1974 | 580 |
| 1974 | 650 |
| 1974 | 625 |
| 1974 | 620 |
| 1974 | 615 |
| 1974 | 480 |
| 1974 | 590 |
| 1975 | 800 |
| 1975 | 760 |
| 1975 | 625 |
| 1975 | 590 |
| 1975 | 580 |
| 1975 | 560 |
| 1975 |  |

From: Surface water quality in Canada: an overview.
16. In a study of the fecundity of brook trout, the researcher wishes to find the dependence of number of ova on body weight. The data follows:

| No. of ova | Body weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 650 |
| 1300 | 350 |
| 1250 | 250 |
| 975 | 220 |
| 820 | 190 |
| 900 | 180 |

No. of ova Body weight (g)
50
200
55
210 ..... 55
375 ..... 75
375 ..... 75
400 ..... 80
400 ..... 80
450 ..... 100
500 ..... 175
520 ..... 150
520 ..... 100
600 ..... 120
625 ..... 115
600 ..... 100
Determine the relationship. (From: fecundity of brook trout from a coastal stream in Prince Edward Island).
17. In a study of a Quebec population of brook trout, it was suspected that fecundity and egg diameter varied together and that perhaps both were dependent on length of fish. The data follows:

Fecundity
109
193
271
356
426

Egg diameter (mm)
3.52
4.19
4.22
4.32
4.16
Analyze and state your conclusions. (From: a population study of brook trout).
18. Observations of the wintering of waterfowl in the Toronto area have been made over many years. An example of the results for the mallard and black duck follows. Determine for each species whether there is a significant trend in numbers of birds overwintexing at Toronto.

| Year | Mallard | Black Duck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 228 | 823 |
| 1948 | 295 | 1886 |
| 1949 | 403 | 1002 |
| 1950 | 612 | 952 |
| 1951 | 610 | 1075 |
| 1952 | 756 | 1238 |
| 1953 | 782 | 1218 |
| 1954 | 592 | 1289 |
| 1955 | 881 | 795 |
| 1956 | 781 | 847 |
| 1957 | 675 | 481. |


| Year | Mallard | Black Duck |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1958 | 604 | 595 |
| 1959 | 742 | 628 |
| 1960 | 781 | 1353 |
| 1961 | 1556 | 1304 |
| 1962 | 2428 | 1104 |
| 1963 | 1667 | 958 |
| 1964 | 1665 | 1508 |
| 1965 | 2295 | 1598 |
| 1966 | 2364 | 1934 |
| 1967 | 3373 | 949 |
| 1968 | 2493 | 347 |
| 1969 | 2101 | 567 |
| 1970 | 2371 | 824 |
| 1971 | 2386 | 1044 |
| 1972 | 2564 | 1751 |
| 1973 | 3219 | 1104 |
| 1974 | 4482 | 989 |
| 1975 | 4374 | 735 |

From: population trends in waterfowl wintering in the roronto region, 1929-1976
19. In the above question (18), do the numbers of the two species of ducks vary together?
20. In the annual waterfowl census at Toronto, the investigators wished to determine how close the numbers censused during the mid-winter census varied with the numbers observed during the Christmas census. The data follows for the Canada Goose only. What is your conclusion?

| Year | Mid-winter census | Christmas census |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 65 | 1 |
| 1965 | 108 | 115 |
| 1966 | 140 | 222 |
| 1967 | 246 | 266 |
| 1968 | 275 | 487 |
| 1969 | 229 | 183 |
| 1970 | 354 | 636 |
| 1971 | 608 | 408 |
| 1972 | 680 | 1102 |
| 1973 | 118 | 848 |
| 1974 | 894 | 747 |
| 1975 | 1788 | 1672 |
| 1976 | 1397 | 1909 |

From: Population trends in watexfowl wintering in the Toronto region, 1929-1976.

## CORRELATION

## SAMPLE QUESTION

\& 21. In a laboratory experiment on the activity level of smalmouth and largemouth bass, it was suspected that selection of black sustrate over white, when an equal amount of each was available, was associated with changes in activity level of the fish. As the fish moved around more (were more active) they were less inclined to be selective of the black substrate because they were less closely associated with the substrate a) Determine if this is so for each species b) Determine if both species were equally affected. It has been previously shown that both activity level and selection of substrate are dependent on environmental factors such as temperature, light intensity and fish age.

## SMALLMOUTH BASS

| Activity | Number over B1ack Substrate | Activity | Number over Black Substrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 85 | 10.3 | . 88 | 12.5 |
| . 90 | 10.1 | . 70 | 13.0 |
| . 15 | 16.5 | . 05 | 16.1 |
| . 50 | 14.0 | . 01 | 16.0 |
| .44 | 14.1 | . 00 | 17.5 |
| . 36 | 13.0 | . 21 | 16.0 |
| . 15 | 17.0 | . 35 | 12.8 |
| . 01 | 19.0 | . 41 | 12.9 |
| . 05 | 19.1 | . 60 | 12.4 |
| . 09 | 18.8 | . 30 | 14.1 |
| . 35 | 15.3 | . 77 | 12.0 |
| . 40 | 12.0 | . 65 | 14.5 |
| . 72 | 11.1 | . 45 | 12.1 |
| . 00 | 19.0 | . 25 | 12.7 |
| . 65 | 10.1 | . 90 | 10.1 |

22. In question \#7, using the phosphorus levels given in brackets, determine the relationship between phosphorus level and diversity index for each year for permanent species and total population. Which relationships are significant?
23. An investigator is attempting to determine whether a new method of control for spruce budworm is working. In the region in general, the probability that a spruce bough is infested is known to be 0.85 . Eight sample plots located in the test area wexe surveyed. The results follow:
plot
No. Infected
24. 

2
3
4
5
6
7
8

17
44
40
24
31
15
26
40

No. not infected
17
19
30
20
10
12
20
38

Test whether this method of control is beneficial in killing spruce budworm.
24. Chi Square

Of 445 adult deer from northern Ontario, $45 \%$ weigh more than 50 kg , $35 \%$ more than 100 kg and $20 \%$ less than 100 kg . A corresponding study of 50 adult deer from Long Point in S. Ontario found $10 \%$ weighed more than $50 \mathrm{~kg}, 20 \%$ more than 100 kg and $70 \%$ less than 100 kg . Is the weight of deer independent of location?
25. It was suspected by an experimenter that tag colour might have an influence on the rate of tag loss since fish tended to bite off the tags. Largemouth bass were tagged with Floy tags of 3 colours as follows:

|  | Total Tagged |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ No. Lost Tags

Is tag loss independent of tag colour? (From: Differential retentior of five Floy tags on largemouth bass in hatchery ponds.)
26. Three species of forage fish were tested for vulnerability to predation by smallmouth bass. The data follows. Are the different species predated upon, at the same rate, by smallmouth bass?

Total Common Shiner Hornyhead Chub White Sucker
No. exposed 8129

29
6
23
No. eaten
21
29
14
1
From: Vulnerability of three species of forage fish to predation by smallmouth bass in a hatchery trough.

Table 1 lists results of a study to determine the estimates of the size of a spawning population of perch. Determine, using both the Petersen and the Schnabel methods, the estimates of population size for each day, beginning May 2, for each tag colour. Also calculate the $95 \%$ confidence limits. Accumulate the number marked for each colour up to and including the day previous to the date for which the estimation is made. For example: the first. Schnabel estimate of population size would be based on a catch of 538, with $m$ at 96 and $r$ at 10 . The first Petersen estimate would be for May 4 as the instantaneous recapture rate is required.

Table 1. Daily catch, number marked ( $m$ ), namber recaptared ( $r$ ) and fraction recaptured ( $r / m$ ).

| Date | Carch | Carch per trap | Lilac |  |  | Green |  |  | Yellow |  |  | Grey |  |  | White |  |  | Fin-cut |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | m | r | r/m | m | $r$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{m}$ | m | \% | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{m}$ | m | r | r/m | m | r | r/m | m | $r$ |
| 1.5 | 98 | 4.9 | 96 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 538 | 23.9 | 525 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5 | 361 | 13.6 | 59 | 26 |  | 271 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.5 | 752 | 27.9 |  | 43 | 0.063 | 394 | 27 |  | 283 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5 | 580 | 28.9 |  | 49 | 0.072 |  | 33 | 0.050 | 214 | 28 |  | 252 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.5 | 611 | 27.9 |  | 52 | 0.076 |  | 34 | 0.051 |  | 40 | 0.080 | 442 | 15 |  | 28 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7.5 | 340 | 15.3 |  | 21 | 0.031 |  | 24 | 0.036 |  | 10 | 0.020 |  | 31 | 0.045 | 253 | 0 |  |  |  |
| - 5 | 663 | 26.5 |  | 46 | 0.068 |  | 52 | 0.078 |  | 29 | 0.058 |  | 43 | 0.062 | 478 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 553 | 25.5 |  | 34 | 0.050 |  | 34 | 0.051 |  | 18 | 0.036 |  | 27 | 0.039 | 409 | 31 |  |  |  |
| ins | 412 | 16.8 |  | 23 | 0.034 |  | 21 | 0.032 |  | 18 | 0.036 |  | 19 | 0.027 | 298 | 33 |  |  |  |
|  | 320 | 15.1 |  | 15 | 0.022 |  | 21 | 0.032 |  | 14 | 0.028 |  | 12 | 0.017 |  | 27 | 0.018 | 225 | 6 |
|  | 269 | 14.2 |  | 8 | 0.012 |  | 10 | 0.015 |  | 6 | 0.012 |  | 7 | 0.010 |  | 34 | 0.023 | 193 | 11 |
|  | 199 | 10.5 |  | 4 | 0.006 |  | 5 | 0.008 |  | 5 | 0.010 |  | 8 | 0.012 |  | 23 | 0.016 | 143 | 11 |
|  | 87 | 4.6 |  | 1 | 0.001 |  | 3 | 0.005 |  | 4 | 0.008 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 9 | 0.006 | 60 | 8 |
| 15.5 | 59 | 3.1 |  | 1 | 0.001 |  | 1 | 0.002 |  | 1 | 0.002 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 0.003 | 44 | 7 |
| Total | 5,842 |  | 680 | 334 | 0.49 | 665 | 270 | 0.41 | 497 | 178 | 0.36 | 694 | 163 | 0.23 | 1486 | 177 | 0.12 | 665 | 43 |

